## RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5141 CRITICALLY ENGAGING WITH INDIGENOUS EDUCATION UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

## **Assessment Task 1: Theoretical framework**

Specific Criteria	(-)—		:	>(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved				
<ul> <li>Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of theory, policy and Indigenous education</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Clarity and accuracy in use of key theoretical terms and concepts in relation to policy and schooling practices</li> </ul>				
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task				
<ul> <li>Depth of understanding of relevant key concepts, politics and concerns linked with Indigenous education policy</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Clarity and depth of explanation regarding the theoretical approach chosen</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Effectiveness of synthesis in connection with communicating with and across the different theoretical frameworks</li> </ul>				
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response				
<ul> <li>Ability to effectively use literature that demonstrates an understanding of the relevance, suitability and sensitivity when evaluating academic literature</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Ability to synthesise and present research findings that supports your response</li> </ul>				
Structure and organisation of response				
A clear and logical structure				
Clarity and coherence of organisation of ideas				

Specific Criteria	(-)—		 >(+)
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions			
<ul> <li>Accurate and thorough attention to written textual requirements: sentence structure, vocabulary, spelling, punctuation, and grammar</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity, appropriateness and effectiveness in terms of persuasive/analytical/ reflective writing</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity, consistency and appropriateness of academic written conventions: quoting, paraphrasing, citing sources/information, and the reference list</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Adheres to word length: inclusive of reference list; within 10% over/under</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Correct and thorough referencing according to APA 6th edition style guide</li> </ul>			
General comments/recommendations for next time:			

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

## RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5141 CRITICALLY ENGAGING WITH INDIGENOUS EDUCATION UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Assessment Task 2: Research essay

Specific Criteria	(-)—		 >(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
<ul> <li>Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of curricular and pedagogic practices linked with Indigenous education</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity and accuracy in use of key curriculum and pedagogic terms and concepts in relation to schooling practices</li> </ul>			
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task			
<ul> <li>Depth of understanding of relevant key concepts, politics and concerns linked with schooling practices and Indigenous education</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Depth of analysis regarding socio-historical concerns linked with Indigenous education, your teaching discipline, and your schooling context</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity and depth of argument in support of 'good practice' schooling</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity and depth of explanation regarding the theoretical approach chosen</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Demonstration of synthesising knowledge and understanding to use theoretical concepts in support of the analysis</li> </ul>			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response			
<ul> <li>Ability to locate relevant, recently published (&lt;10 yrs old) literature from high-quality, peer reviewed journals or edited books (beyond those used in the course)</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Ability to locate and effectively use literature that demonstrates an understanding of the relevance and sensitivity to including different researcher perspectives (i.e. drawing on contributions</li> </ul>			

Specific Criteria	(-)—	 	 >(+)
from Indigenous knowledges/scholars)			
<ul> <li>Ability to synthesise and present research findings that supports your response</li> </ul>			
Structure and organisation of response			
<ul> <li>A clear and logical structure that includes an introduction, body, and conclusion (as per UNSW essay writing guide)</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity and coherence of organisation of ideas within paragraphs and across the response</li> </ul>			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions			
<ul> <li>Accurate and thorough attention to written textual requirements: sentence structure, vocabulary, spelling, punctuation, and grammar</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity, appropriateness and effectiveness in terms of persuasive/analytical/ reflective writing</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity, consistency and appropriateness of academic written conventions: quoting, paraphrasing, citing sources/information, and the reference list</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Adheres to word length: inclusive of reference list; within 10% over/under</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Correct and thorough referencing according to APA 6th edition style guide</li> </ul>			
General comments/recommendations for next time:			

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given

equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.