RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5139 LANGUAGE, LITERACY AND NUMERACY UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Assessment Task 1: Analytical Report

Specific Criteria	(-)>(+)				>(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved					
Identifies and describes key language, literacy, and numeracy concepts					
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task					
 Addresses the syllabus/curriculum requirements accurately in relation to literacy and numeracy 					
 Relates literature to practice, drawing on theories from subject readings 					
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response					
 A range of relevant professional/research literature is drawn on to support the arguments 					
Professional/research sources are current and trusted					
Structure and organisation of response					
 The response is well-structured and organised to show application as well as description of the strategies 					
Response to the task is clear and coherent					
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions					
 Clarity, consistency, and appropriateness of conventions for quoting, paraphrasing, attributing sources of information and listing references 					

Specific Criteria	(-)>(+)				>(+)
Clarity and consistency in presenting tables and diagrams					
Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation, and word length					
General comments/recommendations for next time:					

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5139 LANGUAGE, LITERACY AND NUMERACY UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Assessment Task 2: Text Analysis & Lesson Plan

Specific Criteria	(-)—		 >(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
Solid rationale given for choice of text/choice of lesson plan			
Personal teaching context is clearly articulated			
 Understanding of literacy, language and numeracy demands of non- and EAL students is clearly outlined 			
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task			
 Selected text is contemporary and well-described OR selected lesson plan is sufficiently detailed Relevant language, literacy and numeracy challenges are identified, with a rationale given as to why they pose challenges Justification is given, with reference to key literature and course/ curriculum materials, as to how the proposed lesson plan and teaching strategies within meet the needs of EAL/D students 			
 Clear connections are made between proposed practice (lesson plan) and course content 			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response			
 A range of relevant professional/research literature is drawn on to support the arguments 			
Professional/research sources are current and trusted			
Structure and organisation of response			
The response is well-structured and organised to show			

Specific Criteria	(-)>				>(+)
application as well as description of the strategies					
Response to the task is clear and coherent					
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions					
 Clarity, consistency, and appropriateness of conventions for quoting, paraphrasing, attributing sources of information and listing references 					
 Clarity and consistency in presenting tables and diagrams 					
 Clarity and appropriateness of sentence structure, vocabulary use, spelling, punctuation, and word length 					
General comments/recommendations for next time:					

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.