## RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5034 CURRENT ISSUES IN EDUCATION 2 UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

**Assessment Task 1: Positionality statement** 

Specific Criteria	(-)—		 >(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
<ul> <li>Understanding of the task and its relationship to relevant areas of theory, research, and practice</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Linking the task to own professional learning</li> </ul>			
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task			
<ul> <li>Ability to identify 'current issues' and their significance to researcher positionality</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Evaluate the significance of ethical, cultural, and personal issues related to positionality</li> </ul>			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response			
<ul> <li>Justification of claims made about positionality using appropriate academic literature</li> </ul>			
Structure and organisation of response			
Clarity and style of writing			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions			
<ul> <li>Clarity, consistency and appropriateness of presenting own ideas and reflect on these ideas</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Depth of insight and reflectivity of overall response</li> </ul>			

Specific Criteria	(-)>(+)
General comments/recommendations for next time:	

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 40%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.

## RUBRIC/FEEDBACK SHEET EDST5034 CURRENT ISSUES IN EDUCATION 2 UNSW SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Assessment Task 2: Annotated bibliography

Specific Criteria	(-)—		 >(+)
Understanding of the question or issue and the key concepts involved			
<ul> <li>Appropriateness of the literature to describe study context</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Selection of academic, policy and professional literature that</li> </ul>			
informs the key issues arising in the research context			
Depth of analysis and critique in response to the task			
Justification of claims made about the background to the study using appropriate academic literature			
Evaluative critique of literature that contributes to the context			
Familiarity with and relevance of professional and/or research literature used to support response			
<ul> <li>Ideas &amp; evaluative commentary are clearly &amp; logically articulated</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Clarity of evidence or reasoning provided in writing</li> </ul>			
Structure and organisation of response			
<ul> <li>Annotations describe and evaluate key issues shaping the</li> </ul>			
focus of the study			
Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions			
Clarity, consistency & appropriateness of academic writing style			
<ul> <li>Appropriate use of APA conventions</li> </ul>			
General comments/recommendations for next time:			

Specific Criteria	(-)>(+)

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 60%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to students; they are not given equal weight in determining the recommended grade. Depending on the nature of the assessment task, lecturers may also contextualise and/or amend these specific criteria. The recommended grade is tentative only, subject to standardisation processes and approval by the School of Education Learning and Teaching Committee.